

4-25-1888

## Letter: Lafayette McLaws to Isaac R. Pennypacker, April 25, 1888

Lafayette McLaws

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.wofford.edu/littlejohnmclaws>

 Part of the [Military History Commons](#), [Political History Commons](#), [Social History Commons](#),  
and the [United States History Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

McLaws, Lafayette, "Letter: Lafayette McLaws to Isaac R. Pennypacker, April 25, 1888" (1888). *The Lafayette McLaws Papers*. Book 6.  
<http://digitalcommons.wofford.edu/littlejohnmclaws/6>

---

This Manuscript is brought to you for free and open access by the The Broadus R. Littlejohn, Jr. Collection at Digital Commons @ Wofford. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Lafayette McLaws Papers by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Wofford. For more information, please contact [stonerp@wofford.edu](mailto:stonerp@wofford.edu).

Savannah Georgia  
April 25. 1888

Dear Mr. Remond  
Editor Weekly Press  
Hartford Conn.

Dear Sir

Yours of 17<sup>th</sup> received to day  
together with the paper containing  
the remaining portions of my article  
in review of Lucy Bock's book for  
which please accept my thanks - I  
had obtained copies of that paper,  
viz. of 15. 22. & 29. I wrote letter & sent  
one to each of my friends, who were  
prominent in that battle - among  
them, Gen. George Wm. Gen. J. B. Kershaw  
Caudle &c. - Gen. E. P. Alexander  
Gen. S. - Gen. Wm. T. Sowell. Gen. S. and  
General Lee a like copies for myself  
& family. - Have just received a  
note from Gen. George Wm. S. asking  
for copies - will send him mine.

In reference to the re-union at Gettys-  
burg, neither Gen. Alexander, Sowell  
nor myself have been far from no-  
ticed in connection with it - Gen.  
S. & I. as on a previous occasion  
sent me a very pressing invitation

Whence Report is very minute &  
lengthy - He has also obbliged  
my statement in regard to  
some matters which are set  
down in the books, in ~~every~~  
official Report - How far his  
views as to the cause of failure  
in this campaign may agree,  
I of course cannot say, or yet.  
- Whether he thinks, that it was  
not intended to be a success  
or was unsuccessful, because  
success was not possible, I don't  
know. - If I can do so, I  
think I can make others besides  
myself think that it was not  
intended to be a success.

Sir Law wrote an article, whether  
published or remains to be, I don't  
know - Concerning, matters that happened  
after the battle of Chichawacha, he sent  
it to me, for perusal - I found that

promising me good cheer, and  
to give me a tent on the field, next  
to himself - But now I suppose  
as he may think I am not a  
Foolish man - it will not be in  
order to have me - However  
although the invitation would  
be taken as highly complimentary  
- I could not go, for the reasons  
given, for not accepting "your  
very kind wish, for me to go in  
company with yourself" on to  
town the field.

Sir E M Law was a Brigadier  
in Hoods Division and was  
handsomely treated by Sir Douglass  
and probably is treated to him to day,  
He has gotten many points from  
me in reference to my Division  
and to my official Report as  
published in the "Army of the rebellion"

he had made statements of affairs -  
for instance - analytics that the men  
were not pursued after the battle,  
that Reckling, that I was ordered  
in pursuit & went at Once, following  
the enemy to the outskirts of the city  
(causing them to burn all the houses  
in front of the fortifications,) and  
holding my ground, with Head  
Quarries at Watkins Hill - overlooking  
the town. Telling four hours in advance  
of the rest of the Army - I have no  
idea that credit was ever given me  
for it, simply perhaps, because I never  
claimed any - I have told him this  
a previous order by Gen Bragg  
and I used to follow other Divisions  
but as the others did not come, I  
advanced, expecting <sup>the</sup> others to follow  
- this was mistaken - Gen Cheatham was  
ordered to report to me, but although he  
came into the Valley, he withdrew his troops  
because of the large bodies of the enemy he  
encountered - leaving me on Watkins  
Hill - he reported in person, after dark, & I  
told him to stay, on the heights, 3 miles away,

I made no official report of this  
although our troops were quite  
hotly engaged, while in the pur-  
suit. & my loss was considerable.  
~~and~~ ~~this~~ it has been foolishly  
to ~~s~~ abuse General Bragg, after -  
- having ever, thing, ther before said  
Wrong, to his unfitness for command.  
This I would be very glad indeed  
if you would add your influence  
to call a call to be made for Genl  
Bragg papers, so that he may lie  
here in his own defense - I am -  
- understand that he left a very full  
mind, and as he was a very ready  
and very forcible writer  
equal to any other in U. S. or  
and occupied the highest positions  
his narration of events would be  
in the highest degree interesting  
- If his papers could be obtained  
I know that it would be shown  
that present was ordered, that  
my command alone went forward

and those who were ordered and  
did not go - will be named." I

Can only recollect now that Genl Beck-  
embry was ordered with his division  
to lead, but who did not come - why  
not, I never imagined - The may  
have gone on another road.

Several people of Alabama, once told  
me that Mrs. Bragg had offered to give  
him control of the papers of her  
husband - she may be holding them  
for publication on her own account,  
of so they should be purchased, for  
the War Record of Rebellion? -

wanted to go to - Should you think  
my last contribution a worthy one

Very truly yours  
L M' Laws

I have just returned from a long  
trip into the interior of State, and will  
leave again in the morning, - You have  
no time to reply.

Mary  
L M' Laws